Leaf breakdown and invertebrate colonization of *Eucalyptus grandis* (Myrtaceae) and *Hirtella glandulosa* (Chrysobalanaceae) in two Neotropical lakes
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Abstract: Aim: This study compared the leaf breakdown of *Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex. Maiden (an exotic species) and *Hyrtella glandulosa* Spreng. (a native species), in order to assess the role of invertebrates in decomposition in two tropical lakes (Aguapé and Barra) of the Rio Doce State Park in Southeast Brazil, receiving allochthonous organic matter during the dry and rainy seasons; Methods: Senescent leaves (4 ± 0.1 g dry weight) were placed in litter bags (30 × 30 cm, 5 mm mesh size) and submerged in the lakes. Replicate bags (n = 4) were then retrieved after 3, 7, 15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 days; Results: The breakdown of *E. grandis* leaves (range k = –0.007 to –0.015) was faster than *H. glandulosa* (range k = –0.005 to –0.008). Breakdown rates were higher during the rainy season than the dry season. Leaves of *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* did not differ in terms of invertebrate colonization. The highest densities were observed in Aguapé Lake during the rainy season. Taxonomic richness was higher in *E. grandis* and in Aguapé Lake, but no differences between the rainy and dry seasons were observed. Functional trophic groups differed between leaf types and seasons. Gatherer-collectors and scrapers predominated in *E. grandis* and in the dry season; Conclusions: The higher breakdown observed in Barra Lake was probably a consequence of the elevated temperatures and the presence of Gastropoda (scrapers). Aquatic invertebrates did not have difficulties in the colonization of exotic leaves (*E. grandis*) compared with native leaves (*H. glandulosa*). We observed that in the rainy season, the higher decay rates and density of invertebrate communities, indicating the increased temperatures, nutrient inflow and organic matter brought by the rain, accelerated this process compared with the dry season.  

Keywords: aquatic, functional trophic groups, Atlantic Rain Forest, silviculture, seasonality.

Resumo: Objetivo: Este estudo comparou a perda de massa foliar de *Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex. Maiden (espécie exótica) e *Hyrtella glandulosa* Spreng. (espécie nativa), e avaliou o papel dos invertebrados no processo de decomposição em dois lagos tropicais (Aguapé e Barra) do Parque Estadual de Rio Doce no Sudeste do Brasil, em matéria orgânica de origem alloctone durante as estações seca e chuvosa; Métodos: Folhas senescentes (4 ± 0.1 g de peso seco) foram colocadas em “litter bags” (30 × 30 cm, tamanho da malha de 5 mm) e incubadas nos lagos. As replicas (n = 4) foram retiradas após 3, 7, 15, 30, 60, 90 e 120 dias; Resultados: A decomposição das folhas de *E. grandis* (k = –0.007 to –0.015) foi mais rápido do que *H. glandulosa* (k = –0.005 to –0.008). As taxas de decomposição foram maiores na estação chuvosa do que na estação seca. As folhas de *E. grandis* e *H. glandulosa* não se diferiram quanto a colonização por invertebrados. As maiores densidades foram observadas no lago Aguapé, mas não foram diferentes entre as estações seca e chuvosa. Os grupos funcionais tróficos foram diferentes entre o tipo de folha e estações. Coletores-catadores e raspadores foram predominantes em *E. grandis* na estação seca; Conclusões: A maior taxa de decomposição observada no lago Barra provavelmente ocorreu em conseqüência das maiores temperaturas e presença de Gastropoda (raspadores).
areas of degraded pastureland, heavy industries (iron and steel plants and cellulose), and extensive areas planted with *Eucalyptus* spp. (Petrucio and Barbosa, 2004).

The replacement of areas of native vegetation by plantations of species of *Eucalyptus* is a successful development of Brazilian forestry, because of the rapid growth and wide applicability of its wood for different purposes (Majer and Recher, 1999). However, this replacement has ecological costs that should be considered for the long-term maintenance of biodiversity. The replacement of native species by exotic ones (e.g. *Eucalyptus* spp.) can sharply alter the characteristics of the process of decomposition of leaf detritus, and consequently the energy flow and dynamics of organic matter in aquatic ecosystems (Graça et al., 2002). Members of the genus *Eucalyptus* have low contents of nitrogen, phosphorus and refractory compounds (lignin and hemicelluloses) compared to the native species (Louzada, 1997; Ostrofsky, 1997), and therefore their detritus has less nutritive value for the local biota. Also, the local biota may not be adapted to exploit and colonize this resource, because of the residues of defensive compounds released by the detritus during decomposition (Canhoto and Graça, 1992; 1995).

Compared to lotic systems, the dynamics of coarse detritus decomposition has not received much attention in lakes (Bohman and Herrmann, 2006). Productivity in small lake systems often depends on allochthonous organic inputs, and disturbances affecting detritus subsidies or its processing could potentially influence the ecosystem (Pimentel et al., 2001; Gessner and Chauvet, 2002). Because the importance of allochthonous organic inputs, studies on the interaction between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, and the potential effects of replacement of Atlantic Rain Forest vegetation by *Eucalyptus grandis* on the decomposition rates and invertebrate colonization process, are fundamental for the conservation of aquatic resources and their biological diversity.
All study was based in following premises: i) In the region we observed the modifications of natural landscape to *Eucalyptus* plantations which it has high level toxic compounds that could change the ecosystems functioning, because the decrease flowing energy in the food web provoked by low quality and quantity entered of detritus in the lake; ii) The strong effect of seasonality in the region (rainy summer and dry winter) affects physic and chemistry water parameters and input of organic matter. This event, as observed in literature. It is responsible by changes the ecological process, but in the tropical region we found scarce information about its consequence. The hypotheses of this study were: i) invertebrates will find it more difficult to colonize exotic detritus (*Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex. Maiden) compared to native-species detritus (*Hyrtella glandulosa* Spreng); ii) The rates of decomposition and colonization by invertebrates will be higher during the rainy season, because increase of nutrients carried by rains and elevate temperature. Together they provoke a synergic amplification of metabolism on communities during the summer. According to these hypotheses, the following objectives were established. To study two lakes in the same time, seasonal period and detritus to eliminate specific characteristic of process into system; to evaluate the decay rates and invertebrate colonization of leaves of *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* in Aguapé and Barra lakes.

2. Study area

We studied two lakes because Barra and Aguapé lakes are situated on the southern boundary of the Rio Doce State Park in Southeast Brazil, an Atlantic Rain Forest conservation unit (Figure 1). The park area (ca. 36,000 ha) is intermingled with a system composed of ca. 150 natural lakes in varying states of evolution, formed between four and ten thousand years ago. Its native vegetation is semideciduous tropical forest, composed of woody and arboreal species that reach heights of 30 to 40 m, and large numbers of vines and epiphytes.

The climate of the region is humid tropical with a unimodal pluviometric regime. Annual rainfall ranges from 1000 to 1200 mm. According to INMET (Brazilian National Institute of Meteorology), March is the end of summer (rainy season, mean air temperature 25 °C, precipitation 200 mm); June is the middle of winter (dry season, mean air temperature 20 °C, cumulative precipitation 20 mm); and September is the

![Figure 1](image_url). Location of the sampling points in (1) Barra (19° 47' 45" S and 42° 36' 53" W) and (2) Aguapé (19° 51' 32" S and 42° 38' 32" W) lakes in the middle of Rio Doce basin (Minas Gerais, Southeast, Brazil).
beginning of the rainy season (mean air temperature 23 °C, precipitation 80 mm).

Barra Lake is oligotrophic, shallow (maximum depth 10 m), and relatively less influenced by human actions; it is surrounded by an abandoned *Eucalyptus* plantation and naturally regenerating secondary Atlantic Forest (Petrucio et al., 2006). Aguapé Lake is meso-oligotrophic, shallow (maximum depth 10 m), has large *Eucalyptus* plantations in its surroundings, and is the headquarters of a local fishing club, thus being more highly impacted. Domestic effluents were discharged into this lake in the past 30 years; however, this activity was suspended eight years ago. Abiotic parameters of the water of these lakes are shown in Table 1.

3. Material and Methods

The detritus used in this study was composed of senescent leaves of the exotic species (*Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex. Maiden) and the most common native species around the lakes (*Hyrtella glandulosa* Spreng). *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* leaves were weighed (4 ± 0.1 g wet weight) and placed in individual litter bags (30 × 30 cm with 5 mm mesh size). The litter bags were placed in a row, tied to each other about 20 cm of distance, and immersed 10 cm in the littoral zone near the bottom, in areas with no aquatic vegetation, about 1.5 m from the shoreline and 1 m deep. The bottom sediments of both lakes are composed basically of sand with a small amount of organic matter. The bags were installed in the lakes during two seasonal periods: dry period (June to September/05) and rainy period (October/05 to January/06). Four litter bags of each species were removed from the lakes after 3, 7, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 days, for a total of 56 L bags in each lake. To estimate the initial oven-dried weight for the leaves used in the experiment, a linear regression of dry weight versus wet weight was calculated for extra samples of each species.

After each immersion period, the litter bags were removed and the detritus was placed in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory in insulated boxes. The leaves were placed in trays and washed with running filtered (140-µm mesh sieve) water. Five leaves from each litter bag were randomly selected. Discs of ca. 0.04 g were removed from each leaf in order to determine the ash-free dry weight, calculated after incineration at 550 °C for four hours. To determine the dry weight, leaves were placed in trays and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 72 hours. The decay rate (k) was calculated using the negative exponential model $W_t = W_0 e^{-kt}$.

The retrieved material was placed in a container with a 70% ethanol solution to fix and preserve the invertebrates. In the laboratory, the specimens were identified until family level (Pérez, 1988; Merritt and Cummins, 1996) and counted with the aid of a stereomicroscope (20×).

The differences between decay rates of the detritus in the two lakes and during the two seasonal periods studied were tested by analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), where the lost mass was the dependent variable, leaf species and seasonal periods were categorical variables, and incubation period was the covariable. The differences between detritus and seasonal periods (categorical variables) versus the invertebrate density and taxonomic richness data (dependent variables) were tested by ANCOVA using incubation period as a covariable. The test was followed by a Tukey test. We used MANOVA to test the differences between detritus, lakes, and seasonal periods (categorical variable) versus the composition of functional trophic groups (dependent variables), followed by Tukey tests (Zar, 1996). All data were ln transformed.

4. Results

4.1. Leaf detritus breakdown

During the first three days of incubation in the dry period, rapid breakdown of both the *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* detritus was observed. In Aguapé Lake, the remaining percentages of AFDM after three days were 77.47 and 80.34%; while in Barra Lake these percentages were 72.87 and 77.79%, for *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa*, respectively. During the rainy period in Aguapé Lake, *E. grandis* showed an accentuated weight loss between the third

| Table 1. Abiotic parameters of Aguapé and Barra lakes water at during the two study periods. |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
|       | Aguapé  | Barra   |        |         |
| pH    | 7.09-7.31 | 7.21-7.45 | 6.80-6.96 | 6.91-7.41 |
| Electrical conductivity (µS.cm⁻¹) | 33.1-35.9 | 40.8-57.3 | 27.1-30.4 | 28.4-43.3 |
| Alkalinity (mg CaCO₃.L⁻¹) | 16.5-19.75 | 18.75-21.0 | 15.25-17.25 | 14.5-17.75 |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg.L⁻¹) | 3.0-4.3 | 6.2-8.1 | 2.7-4.0 | 7.1-8.8 |
| Temperature (°C) | 24-27 | 25-30 | 24-26 | 29-32 |
(91.93% remaining of AFDM) and the seventh day (61.96% remaining of AFDM) of incubation. *H. glandulosa* lost less weight between the third day (95.89% remaining of AFDM) and the seventh day (83.32% remaining of AFDM) of incubation (Figure 2).

The decay rates of *H. glandulosa* in Aguapé and Barra lakes were $k = -0.007$ and $k = -0.008$ during the dry period and $k = -0.007$ and $k = -0.005$ during the rainy period, respectively. *E. grandis* showed $k = -0.007$ and $k = -0.013$ during the dry period and $k = -0.010$ and $k = -0.015$ during the rainy period, in Aguapé and Barra lakes, respectively. The decay rates for both species were faster during the rainy season (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,192)} = 4.031; p = 0.046$). *E. grandis* leaves decayed faster than *H. glandulosa* leaves (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,192)} = 52.630; p < 0.001$) during both seasonal periods (Figure 2). The exception was observed during the dry period in Aguapé Lake, where both species showed similar decay rates ($k = -0.007$).

### 4.2. Associated invertebrates

During the study period, densities of invertebrates on the decomposing leaves averaged 30.512 ind.100 g$^{-1}$ DW. Chironomidae were the most abundant (22.709 ind.100 g$^{-1}$ DW), comprising 78% of the individuals sampled. An exception was Aguapé Lake during the dry period, where Ephemeroptera reached higher densities in the *H. glandulosa* detritus (Table 2). The invertebrate densities did not show significant differences between *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* detritus (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,162)} = 2.921; p = 0.089$). However, invertebrate density was higher during the rainy season (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,162)} = 13.576; p < 0.001$).

The number of taxonomic entities (richness hereafter) of aquatic invertebrates was higher in *E. grandis* detritus (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,162)} = 4.568; p = 0.034$). However, taxonomic richness did not differ between seasons (ANCOVA, $F_{(1,162)} = 0.138; p = 0.710$) (Figure 3).

Differences among abundances of functional trophic groups were observed (MANOVA, $F_{(4,161)} = 2.886; p = 0.024$). Gatherer-collectors and scrapers showed significantly higher abundances in *E. grandis* (Tukey test, $p < 0.001$). A difference was observed between seasonal periods (MANOVA, $F_{(4,161)} = 2.981; p = 0.0207$), determined by the high abundance of filterer-collectors and predators.
Table 2. Mean and S.E. of invertebrates in *E. grandis* and *H. glandulosa* in the Aguapé and Barra lakes during the rain and dry seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>FFG</th>
<th>Aguapé Lake Rainy</th>
<th>E. grandis</th>
<th>H. glandulosa</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>E. grandis</th>
<th>H. glandulosa</th>
<th>Barra Lake Rainy</th>
<th>E. grandis</th>
<th>H. glandulosa</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>E. grandis</th>
<th>H. glandulosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annelida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligochaeta</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>119 ± 273</td>
<td>24 ± 43</td>
<td>211 ± 280</td>
<td>23 ± 56</td>
<td>13 ± 31</td>
<td>2 ± 7</td>
<td>17 ± 47</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arthropoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aracnida</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>2 ± 9</td>
<td>7 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Branchiopoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocera</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>153 ± 170</td>
<td>81 ± 160</td>
<td>355 ± 661</td>
<td>351 ± 511</td>
<td>45 ± 77</td>
<td>3 ± 12</td>
<td>133 ± 217</td>
<td>106 ± 183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostracoda</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>873 ± 1229</td>
<td>960 ± 1794</td>
<td>1479 ± 2224</td>
<td>2149 ± 2891</td>
<td>71 ± 118</td>
<td>28 ± 47</td>
<td>245 ± 238</td>
<td>43 ± 72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepoda</td>
<td>GC-Fc</td>
<td>33 ± 69</td>
<td>28 ± 67</td>
<td>223 ± 365</td>
<td>82 ± 348</td>
<td>7 ± 15</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>23 ± 36</td>
<td>4 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>GC-Scr</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 6</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>2 ± 13</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insecta</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleoptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmidae</td>
<td>GC-Scr</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 5</td>
<td>16 ± 84</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>6 ± 30</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>8 ± 17</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
<td></td>
<td>19778 ± 29446</td>
<td>9595 ± 10298</td>
<td>1978 ± 2731</td>
<td>2169 ± 2311</td>
<td>888 ± 704</td>
<td>337 ± 407</td>
<td>1241 ± 2388</td>
<td>256 ± 256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ephemeroptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptotyphlidae</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>4 ± 20</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>157 ± 466</td>
<td>1 ± 8</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>4 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptophlebiidae</td>
<td>GC-Scr</td>
<td>263 ± 367</td>
<td>86 ± 123</td>
<td>164 ± 244</td>
<td>43 ± 111</td>
<td>14 ± 50</td>
<td>14 ± 37</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetidae</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>247 ± 328</td>
<td>110 ± 156</td>
<td>65 ± 134</td>
<td>124 ± 326</td>
<td>135 ± 368</td>
<td>60 ± 91</td>
<td>148 ± 261</td>
<td>51 ± 87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caenidae</td>
<td>GC-Scr</td>
<td>28 ± 112</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>7 ± 25</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>57 ± 133</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Odonata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coenagrionidae</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>16 ± 35</td>
<td>47 ± 73</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>63 ± 126</td>
<td>10 ± 24</td>
<td>3 ± 12</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>2 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libellulidae</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 8</td>
<td>5 ± 25</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichoptera</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycentropodida</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>234 ± 348</td>
<td>182 ± 192</td>
<td>40 ± 83</td>
<td>91 ± 167</td>
<td>15 ± 37</td>
<td>7 ± 16</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>14 ± 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontoceridae</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>31 ± 68</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiptera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naucoridae</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mollusca</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>Scr</td>
<td>28 ± 106</td>
<td>2 ± 6</td>
<td>6 ± 19</td>
<td>13 ± 37</td>
<td>72 ± 98</td>
<td>21 ± 28</td>
<td>86 ± 141</td>
<td>61 ± 64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematoda</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 8</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>5 ± 21</td>
<td>2 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rapid weight loss observed for detritus on the third day of incubation can be attributed to the metabolism of labile molecules (Tanaka, 1991) and/or their leaching (molecules such as proteins, carbohydrates and lipids) (Brum and Esteves, 2001a, b; Panhota et al., 2006).

Some studies have indicated that seasonal variation can influence the decomposition process through modifications in the concentrations of dissolved oxygen, conductivity, nutrient concentration, temperature, and pH in the water (Merrit and Cummins, 1996; Maamri et al., 1997; Garnett et al., 2000). The decay rates of both species were faster during the rainy period (summer), which is probably related to the higher temperatures (as also reported by Costantini et al., 2003 for Lake Titicaca), electrical conductivity (which reflects the amount of ions in the water), and dissolved oxygen content, parameters that act to increase the metabolism of decomposer organisms (Swan and Palmer, 2004). In the summer, an increase of few degrees in the

during the dry period (Tukey test, p < 0.001) (Figure 4).

5. Discussion

5.1. Leaf breakdown

The estimated decay rates for the two species is considered intermediate (0.010 > k > 0.005 day⁻¹), in accordance with the classification proposed by Petersen and Cummins (1974) for temperate streams but It also used successfully in tropical streams (Gonçalves Jr. et al., 2006a, 2007; Moretti et al., 2007b). The faster decay rate of the E. grandis leaves can be explained by the chemical composition of the detritus (Meentemeyer, 1978; Gallardo and Merino, 1993; Aerts, 1997), because the smaller the quantity of refractory compounds (lignin and hemicelluloses), the more rapid is the weight loss (Ostrofsky, 1997). According to Gessner and Chauvet (2002) and Moretti et al. (2007a), studies on leaf breakdown should be directed toward understanding the relationship between the chemical composition of the detritus and its degradation rate. For instance, detritus composed of smaller amounts of complex molecules (such as lignin and hemicelluloses) is preferred by the aquatic microbial and invertebrate communities, and consequently their decomposition tends to be faster (Tanaka, 1991; Panhota et al., 2006). The rapid weight loss observed for detritus on the third day of incubation can be attributed to the metabolism of labile molecules (Tanaka, 1991) and/or their leaching (molecules such as proteins, carbohydrates and lipids) (Brum and Esteves, 2001a, b; Panhota et al., 2006).

Some studies have indicated that seasonal variation can influence the decomposition process through modifications in the concentrations of dissolved oxygen, conductivity, nutrient concentration, temperature, and pH in the water (Merrit and Cummins, 1996; Maamri et al., 1997; Garnett et al., 2000). The decay rates of both species were faster during the rainy period (summer), which is probably related to the higher temperatures (as also reported by Costantini et al., 2003 for Lake Titicaca), electrical conductivity (which reflects the amount of ions in the water), and dissolved oxygen content, parameters that act to increase the metabolism of decomposer organisms (Swan and Palmer, 2004). In the summer, an increase of few degrees in the

![Figure 3. Abundance (a and b; mean ± SE) and taxonomic richness (c and d; median ± SE) of invertebrates in of E. grandis (diamond) and H. glandulosa (square) in the Aguapé (black line) and Barra lakes (grey line) during the rain (a and c) and dry seasons (b and d).](image-url)
temperature is enough to raise the metabolic activity of decomposer communities (Suberkropp and Chauvet, 1995; Gulis and Suberkropp, 2003), accelerating the decomposition process in tropical and temperate lakes, because they allowed an increase in the activity of the aquatic communities (Carpenter and Adams, 1979; Webster and Benfield, 1986; Irons et al., 1994). These results confirmed the second hypothesis, that rates of decomposition will be higher during the rainy season, and corroborated the importance of water characteristics for the litter decomposition process.

Figure 4. Percentage of functional feeding groups during leaf breakdown of *Eucalyptus grandis* (a, c, e and g) and *Hirtella glandulosa* (b, d, f and h) during the rain (a, b, e and f) and dry seasons (c, d, g and h). Above: Aguapé Lake; below: Barra Lake. P = Predators, FC = Filtering-collectors-, GC = Gathering-collectors, S = Shredders, Scr = Scrapers.
5.2. Invertebrates

Chironomidae (Diptera) was the most abundant taxon associated with both species of leaves. Similar results were obtained by Rosemond et al. (1998) in an experiment in the Costa Rica, where Chironomidae constituted 83% of the invertebrate community in a tropical stream. Chironomidae constitute a fundamental link in many food webs, feeding on planktonic and periphytic algae and being consumed by other macroinvertebrates, fish, and birds (Nolte, 1986). According to Burki et al. (1978), Chironomidae can develop resistance or can adapt physiologically and behaviorally to diverse environmental conditions. This explains their high densities in this lacustrine system. However, Chironomidae have high species diversity (with many species still undescribed in Brazil) and a generalist trophic strategy that makes their trophic classification difficult (Gonçalves Jr. et al., 2003; Callisto et al., 2007). In this study, their trophic position among functional groups in the invertebrate community was not considered, but their importance for detritus degradation cannot be disregarded.

The presence or absence of aquatic invertebrates is the result of their interaction with the habitat, mainly with the physical and chemical conditions that characterize it, and the availability of food (Merrit and Cummins, 1996; Bueno et al., 2003). Even though leaves of E. grandis decomposed more rapidly than H. glandulosa in seasonal periods, the difference in invertebrate density and richness was not significant, showing that the nutritional quality of the detritus did not influence the structure of the invertebrate community. These results indicated that E. grandis had no negative effects on the invertebrate community, thus rejecting the first hypothesis of this study. Probably the invertebrates are adapted to exploit this exotic leaf detritus, because there are many species of the family Myrtaceae in the Atlantic Rain forest. Despite of higher concentration of secondary compounds in leaves of Eucalyptus spp. (Majer and Recher, 1999), these compounds are lost faster during leaching process in the initial phase of decomposition. We believed that both explanations could be considered together to minimize the negative effects of eucalyptus leaves in tropical invertebrates’ colonization when we compare with native leaves species.

Seasonal variation is another factor that influences trophic structure, by altering the physical and chemical characteristics of the water (such as water temperature, electrical conductivity, pH, total alkalinity, and dissolved oxygen) and the rainfall dynamics, which increase the amount of nutrients and organic matter that enter the system during the summer (Hynes, 1970). These changes differentiate the structure and abundance of functional trophic groups between the rainy and dry seasons. A high relative abundance of filterer-collectors and predators during the dry season was described by Pope et al. (1996), and was observed during this study. During the rainy period, the functional trophic groups with higher relative abundances were the gatherer-collectors and the scrapers. The higher relative abundances of scrapers corresponded to the higher decomposition rates observed during the rainy period for E. grandis detritus. The trophic functional groups and density were sensitive to seasonality, confirming the second hypothesis of this study.

Shredders, the most important functional trophic group in the process of decomposition of plant detritus (Graça, 2001), were not found, suggesting that other factors (temperature, seasonality, and chemical composition of the plant detritus) were determining the decomposition rates. Considering the absence of shredders observed during this study, and also by Gonçalves Jr. et al. (2006a, b; 2007), some studies have demonstrated that the ecological niche unoccupied by shredders could be filled by Gastropoda, which scrape the substrate using their radulae to obtain food, which fragments the leaf detritus and accelerates its decomposition (Cherguei and Pattee, 1991; Maamri et al., 1997; Casas and Gessner, 1999). However, few studies in tropical regions have dealt with this question (Hurlbert et al., 1981; Lopretto and Tell, 1995). The high density of Gastropoda and the high temperatures in Barra Lake may explain its higher decomposition coefficient, even though Aguapé Lake had a higher invertebrate density, electrical conductivity, and total alkalinity (measurements that may indicate higher nutrient concentrations in the water).

In general, our data showed that E. grandis presented higher decomposition rates, and invertebrates did not encounter major difficulties in colonizing this exotic detritus, compared to the native detritus (H. glandulosa), we found not difference found in densities and richness. Higher decay rates and invertebrate densities were observed in the rainy season, suggesting that the temperature increase and the input of nutrients and organic matter (provided by the rainfall) favor the intensification of this process. A large gap in knowledge yet to be investigated is the role of the microbial community in this process.
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