Chironomidae (Diptera) community structure in two subsystems with different states of conservation in a floodplain of southern Brazil
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Abstract: Aim: Our study aimed to compare the composition, distribution, diversity, abundance and dominance of Chironomidae in two subsystems of the Upper Paraná River floodplain, with different levels of conservation. We expected to find the highest values of diversity and lowest dominance of taxa in the most conserved areas (Ivinhema subsystem). We also expected to detect differences in the composition and distribution of communities between the subsystems, because of the level of conservation of each subsystem; Methods: Sampling was performed in March and September 2003, in eight stations, four in the Paraná River subsystem and four in the Ivinhema River subsystem. Four samples were taken at each point with a modified Petersen-type sampler: three for biological analysis and one for sedimentological analysis. Chironomidae larvae were identified to generic level; Results: Twenty-nine genera belonging to three subfamilies (Tanypodinae, Chironominae and Orthocladiinae) were recorded in this study. Chironomus, Dicrotendipes, Goeldichironomus, and Tanytarsus were the main genera found in the Paraná subsystem. Polyplectanum was the only genus present in all sampling stations, and was dominant in five of them. In the Paraná subsystem we observed the highest values of dominance, and in the Ivinhema subsystem the highest values of dominance, and in the Ivinhema subsystem the highest number of rare taxa; Conclusions: Results showed differences in the structure of the Chironomidae community between the Paraná and Ivinhema subsystems. The community of Chironomidae in the Paraná subsystem was composed predominantly by generalist and tolerant genera. In the Ivinhema subsystem we observed higher richness of taxa. The greatest diversity, lowest values of dominance and exclusive taxonomic composition in the Ivinhema River subsystem could be reflecting a less degraded environment, demonstrating that the conservation of these areas is essential for maintaining the diversity of Chironomidae. Keywords: Chironomidae larvae, anthropic influences, diversity, Paraná River, Ivinhema River.

Resumo: Objetivo: Esse estudo teve por objetivo comparar a composição taxonômica, distribuição, diversidade, abundância e dominância da comunidade de Chironomidae em dois subsistemas da Planície de inundação do alto rio Paraná em diferentes estados de conservação. Esperava-se encontrar maiores valores de diversidade e menor dominância de táxons nas áreas mais conservadas (subsistema Ivinhema). Esperava-se também, diferenças na composição e na distribuição da comunidade entre os subsistemas devido a aos diferentes estados de conservação de cada estação; Métodos: As coletas foram realizadas em março e setembro de 2003 em oito ambientes, quatro no subsistema Paraná e quatro no subsistema Ivinhema. Em cada ponto foram coletadas quatro unidades amostrais por período com um pegador do tipo Petersen modificado: três para análise biológica e uma para análise sedimentológica. As larvas de Chironomidae foram identificadas no nível de gênero; Resultados: Foram registrados 29 gêneros pertencentes a três subfamílias de Chironomidae. Chironomus, Dicrotendipes, Goeldichironomus, e Tanytarsus foram os principais representantes do subsistema Paraná. Polyplectanum foi o único gênero presente em todas as estações de amostragem e dominante em cinco delas. No subsistema Paraná foram observados maiores valores de dominância e no subsistema Ivinhema maior número de espécies raras; Conclusões: Os resultados demonstraram diferenças na estrutura da comunidade de Chironomidae entre os subsistemas Paraná e Ivinhema. A composição
1. Introduction

The Upper Paraná River has drainage area of 891,000 km², 10.5% of the total area of Brazil. It flows South-Southwest, through the region with the highest population density in Brazil. In consequence, its water bodies have been severely affected by anthropogenic disturbances, such as biocides, household sewage and removal of riparian vegetation. However, dams are among the most damaging human activities in the basin (Agostinho et al., 2008).

Before the closure of the Porto Primavera Dam (closed in 1998), a large 480 km floodplain existed. Currently, this floodplain includes a stretch of 230 km, from the Porto Primavera Dam to the upper part of the Itaipu Reservoir (Agostinho et al., 2008). The floodplain is a mosaic of habitats with peculiar characteristics, great heterogeneity of habitats and high biodiversity (Thomaz et al., 2004). This area, named the Upper Paraná River floodplain, is the last stretch of the Paraná River free of dams in Brazilian territory. However, regulation of the flow upstream can result in serious consequences for the structure and functioning of the floodplain. The flow regulation changes the transport of sediment (Rocha et al., 2001; Hayakawa, 2007), and this factor can directly affect the biota in consequence of physical, chemical, geomorphological and hydrological modifications (Agostinho et al., 2008).

Studies have shown that inflow from tributaries downstream of dams can lead to recovery of the altered composition of assemblages through changes in the substrate material and other habitat conditions (Storey et al., 1991; Stevens et al., 1997; Vinson, 2001).

The Ivinheima River is one of the main tributaries located at the right margin of the Paraná River. The Ivinheima subsystem is formed by the Ivinheima River and associated floodplain lakes (Pauleto et al., 2009). It has heterogeneous vegetation on its margins, ranging from herbaceous vegetation to the formation of extensive areas of riparian forests at different regeneration stages (Stevaux et al., 1997).

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The Upper Paraná River basin occupies a wide area of approximately 802,150 km² (Souza-Filho et al., 2004).
The Upper Paraná River floodplain is located on the right margin of the Paraná River (23° 43’ – 25° 33’ S and 54° 35’ – 53° 10’ W), between the States of Mato Grosso do Sul and Paraná (Figure 1).

Our study was conducted in two subsystems of this floodplain: the Paraná River and the Ivinhema River. In each subsystem, four stations were selected and three sites at each station were established, two in the margins and one in the central region.

Selected stations in the Paraná River subsystem were: 1) main channel with mean flow of 0.30 m/s and high transparency; 2) Pau Véio Backwater, a semi-lotic environment; 3) Garças Lake, a connected lake and; 4) Osmar Lake, a lake that is not connected.

Selected stations in the Ivinhema River subsystem were: 1) main channel with mean flow of 0.20 m/s and low transparency; 2) Ipoitã channel, a lotic environment that connects the Ivinhema River and the Paraná River; 3) Patos Lake, a connected lake and; 4) Ventura Lake, a lake that is not connected.

2.2. Sampling and proceeding

Sampling was performed in March and September 2003 with a modified Petersen-type sampler (0.0345 m²). Four sampling units were taken at each sampling point: three for biological analysis and one for sedimentological analysis. Depth was measured with Digital Sounder. Conductivity, pH, water temperature, and dissolved oxygen content (mg.L⁻¹ and saturation percentage) were recorded with a 6820-YSI device. Data on hydrometric levels were provided by ANA (Agência Nacional das Águas).

Sediment samples were dried in an oven at 80 °C. Granulometric texture was determined according to the Wentworth’s scale (Wentworth, 1922), using the wet method. Organic matter content in the sediment was obtained by calcination of the sample in a muffle at 560 °C, for approximately four hours.

The biological material was taken to NUPELIA’s (Research Nucleus in Limnology Ichthyology and Aquaculture) research outpost, in the city of Porto Rico, State of Paraná, and washed through a set of sieves (2.0, 1.0, and 0.2 mm mesh). Organisms found in the 2.0 and 1.0 mm mesh sieves were immediately fixed in 70% alcohol. The material retained in the 0.2 mm mesh sieve was placed in polyethylene jars containing 70% alcohol, and then observed under the stereoscopic microscope.

Figure 1. Study area and sampling sites. (1) Paraná River, (2) Pau Véio Backwater, (3) Garças Lake, (4) Osmar Lake, (5) Ivinhema River, (6) Ipoitã Channel, (7) Patos Lake, (8) Ventura Lake.
The organisms found were separated into taxonomic groups for qualitative and quantitative analyses. Chironomidae larvae were identified to the genus level using identification keys, such as those by Epler (1995) and Trivinho-Strixino and Strixino (1995).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to reduce the dimensionality of the environmental variables and to rank stations and months in relation to the water temperature, depth, pH, electric conductivity, dissolved oxygen, organic matter, pebbles, granules, very coarse sand, coarse sand, medium sand, fine sand, very fine sand and mud. The first two ordination axes were retained for interpretation using the Broken-Stick criterion. In order to verify differences in the PCA scores, two-way ANOVA was applied.

Kownacki’s dominance index (Kownacki, 1971) was adapted for the genus category and calculated for the different environments and months according to the formula (Equation 1):

\[ d = \frac{\bar{Q} \cdot 100}{\sum Q} \cdot f \]

where \( \bar{Q} \) = Average number of individuals of each genus recorded on a set of samples, \( \sum \bar{Q} \) = sum of the quantity average of individuals of all genera, \( f \) = frequency, \( n / N \), where \( n \) = number of samples representative of the species investigated and \( N \) = number of samples in the series.

The ordination of environments based on the composition and density of taxonomic groups was performed by the Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA). In order to carry out these analyses, the abiotic data were standardized and the biological data were normalized by log-transformation (log \( n+1 \)). To verify differences in DCA scores, two-way ANOVA was applied.

The generic diversity analysis, addressing different aspects of each particular environment, was calculated using Shannon-Wiener’s diversity index (Shannon and Weaver, 1963).

The PC-ORD software program (version 4.0) was used to run PCA and DCA, and the Statistica software (version 7.0) was used for other analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Abiotic variables

In the Paraná River, the high water period comprised the months from January to April, with peak of approximately 5 m in March. The low water period was observed between the months of May and November, with levels close to 2 m in June, August and November. The water levels of the Ivinhema River were little influenced by variations in the level of the Paraná River and did not follow the same patterns of oscillation (Figure 2).

The first two principal components analysis (PCA) axis accounted for 44.88% (28.08% on axis 1 and 16.80% on axis 2) of the total variance of the physical and chemical variables. The two PCA axis separated environments and sampling months. The variables that contributed toward the formation of axis 1 separated the Pau Véio Backwater, Garças Lake, and Osmar Lake (Group I) from the other environments, especially because of their higher mud and organic matter percentages. Conversely, all the Ivinhema River subsystem and the Paraná River main channel (Group II) showed the greatest variety in granulometric texture (Figure 3). Significant differences were observed between groups I and II (Sampling stations*Months: \( F_{7,32} = 2.64 \); \( p = 0.03 \)) (Figure 4).

In the ordination, axis 2 separated March from September because of the high temperature mean and low dissolved oxygen mean in March (Water temperature = 28.00 °C, ± 0.88; Dissolved oxygen = 4.33 mg.L\(^{-1}\), ±1.84 ) and low temperature mean and high dissolved oxygen mean in September (Water temperature = 22.23 °C, ± 3.01; Dissolved oxygen = 7.19 mg.L\(^{-1}\), ±2.33) (Figure 3), but significant differences were not observed (Sampling stations*Months: \( F_{7,32} = 0.24 \); \( p = 0.27 \)) (Figure 4).

3.2. Biotic variables

Twenty-nine genera belonging to three subfamilies (Tanypodinae, Chironominae and Orthocladiinae) were recorded in this study. The Paraná subsystem showed the highest values of dominance and the subsystem Ivinhema the...
largest number of rare taxa. *Polypedilum* was the only genus present in all sampling stations, and was dominant in five of them. *Chironomus* and *Goeldichironomus* were dominant in Osmar Lake. *Tanytarsus* was dominant in the Ivinhema River, Pau Véio Backwater and Osmar Lake. *Caladomyia*, like *Tanytarsus*, was dominant in three different types of environment (Ivinhema River, Patos Lake and Pau Véio Backwater). The genera *Axarus* and *Cryptochironomus* were dominant in the Paraná River (Table 1).

Mean density varied between environments and collection months. The largest variations were observed in the Paraná River subsystem with means close to zero in all environments in March and density peak in the Osmar Lake in September. In the Ivinhema River subsystem the range of variation in density was lower (Figure 5).

The distribution of scores for the first two DCA axes, with eigenvalues of 0.669 (axis 1) and 0.356 (axis 2), showed the formation of two groups (Figure 6), the first formed mainly by the genera *Chironomus*, *Dicrotendipes*, *Goeldichironomus*, and *Tanytarsus* found in the Garças and Osmar Lakes and Pau Véio Backwater (Group I), and the second consisting by the other genera, in the Ivinhema River subsystem and in the main channel of the Paraná River (Group II). The two-way ANOVA showed significant differences between groups in the first axis (Sampling stations*Months: $F_{5,26} = 2.79; p = 0.03$) (Figure 7). DCA ordination considers the composition and density of the taxonomic groups. DCA grouped the main channel of the Paraná River and the subsystem Ivinhema, influenced mainly by the presence of *Axarus* and *Cryptochironomus* in both rivers. However, most genera of group II, *Djalmabatista*, *Procladius*, *Harnischia*, *Nilothauma*, *Paralauterboniella*, *Saetheria*, *Stenochironomus*, *Aedokrytus*, *Cricotopus*, *Nanocladius*, and *Thienemanniella* occurred only in the Ivinhema River subsystem.

The Chironomidae diversity values ($H'$) varied the most between the sampling stations of the Paraná River subsystem; null values were registered in the Garças and Osmar Lakes in March. In the Ivinhema River subsystem, diversity values varied less between the sampling stations and the highest values were observed in the Ipoitã channel (Figure 8).

### 4. Discussion

In river-floodplain systems, the composition, distribution and diversity of Chironomidae are strictly related to biogeochemical processes and to the high habitat heterogeneity of these ecosystems (Franquet, 1999; Rosin and Takeda, 2007). High percentages of organic matter were observed in the PCA for lakes of the Paraná subsystem; as the water level increased in the Paraná River subsystem in March, a great inflow of allochthonous material reached the environments. The rapid decomposition of this material, influenced by increased temperature,
Table 1. Dominance values of Kownacki's index: Dominant (in boldface) (10-100); Subdominant (1-9.99); Non-dominant (0.10-0.099); IVRM = Ivinheima River (March); IVRS = Ivinheima River (September); IPCM = Ipoitã Channel (March); IPCS = Ipoitã Channel (September); PALM = Patos Lake (March); PALS = Patos Lake (September); VELM = Ventura Lake (March); VELS = Ventura Lake (September); PRRM = Paraná River (March); PRRS = Paraná River (September); PVBM = Pau Véio Backwater (March); PVBS = Pau Véio Backwater (September); GALM = Garçás Lake (March); GALS = Garçás Lake (September); OSLM = Osmar Lake (March); OSLS = Osmar Lake (September).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>IVRM</th>
<th>IVRS</th>
<th>IPCM</th>
<th>IPCS</th>
<th>PALM</th>
<th>PALS</th>
<th>VELM</th>
<th>VELS</th>
<th>PRRM</th>
<th>PRRS</th>
<th>PVIM</th>
<th>PVIS</th>
<th>GALM</th>
<th>GALS</th>
<th>OSLM</th>
<th>OSLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tanypodinae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablabesmyia (Johannsen, 1905)</td>
<td>0,99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coelotanytus (Kieffer, 1913)</td>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>1,75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djalmabatista (Fittkau, 1968)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,93</td>
<td>9,88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrundinia (Fittkau, 1962)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procladius (Skuse, 1889)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,89</td>
<td>5,71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanypus (Meigen, 1803)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chironominae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axarus (Roback, 1980)</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,69</td>
<td>21,21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beardius (Reiss &amp; Sublette, 1985)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>0,30</td>
<td>0,32</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomus (Meigen, 1803)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,81</td>
<td>0,82</td>
<td>1,27</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladopelma (Kieffer, 1921)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptochironomus (Kieffer, 1918)</td>
<td>3,57</td>
<td>0,95</td>
<td>10,00</td>
<td>5,56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicrotendipes (Kieffer, 1913)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotribelos (Groshaus, 1987)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>3,59</td>
<td>1,19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissimentum (Cranston &amp; Nolte, 1996)</td>
<td>1,79</td>
<td>0,82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goeldichironomus (Fittkau, 1965)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamischia (Kieffer, 1921)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,22</td>
<td>2,68</td>
<td>1,70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilothauma (Kieffer, 1920)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parachironomus (Lens, 1921)</td>
<td>2,47</td>
<td>17,54</td>
<td></td>
<td>0,63</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,95</td>
<td>0,38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralauterborniella (Lens, 1941)</td>
<td>0,89</td>
<td>0,41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphemus (Kieffer, 1921)</td>
<td>5,93</td>
<td>48,89</td>
<td>2,92</td>
<td>21,43</td>
<td>11,52</td>
<td>12,63</td>
<td>64,18</td>
<td>25,00</td>
<td>3,33</td>
<td>3,50</td>
<td>33,33</td>
<td>1,48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saethena (Jackson, 1977)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenochironomus (Kieffer, 1919)</td>
<td>0,30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetokritus (Roback, 1958)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudochironomus (Malloch, 1915)</td>
<td>36,19</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caladomyia (Säwedal, 1918)</td>
<td>34,57</td>
<td>3,59</td>
<td>3,57</td>
<td>23,87</td>
<td>1,27</td>
<td>2,99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanytarsus (van der Wulp, 1874)</td>
<td>13,33</td>
<td>2,22</td>
<td>2,34</td>
<td>1,19</td>
<td>3,99</td>
<td>3,33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orthocladiinae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricotopus (van der Wulp, 1874)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopescladius (Oliveira, 1967)</td>
<td>1,37</td>
<td>4,44</td>
<td>2,34</td>
<td>2,39</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,49</td>
<td>1,67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiemenianniella (Kieffer, 1911)</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reduced the dissolved oxygen values, which probably resulted in low Chironomidae densities in the lakes of the Paraná River subsystem in the same period. On the other hand, the Ivinhema River subsystem was less affected by this event. As previously mentioned, the Ivinhema River is affected by the fluvimetric level of the Paraná River when it is higher than 4.5 m (Souza-Filho et al., 2004). This level was observed a few times during the year of 2003.

*Polypedilum* was recorded in all environments, being dominant in six of them. This genus is known for its relative resistance to adverse conditions (Panis et al., 1996) and also for being generalist (Callisto et al., 2000; Santos and Henry, 2001). *Polypedilum* is usually the most common Chironomidae genus in the environments of the Upper Paraná River floodplain (Higuti et al., 1993; Higuti and Takeda, 2002; Rosin and Takeda, 2007; Rosin et al., 2009).

As evidenced by the DCA, *Chironomus*, *Dicrotendipes*, *Goeldichironomus*, and *Tanytulus*, which formed group I, were the main genera of lakes associated to the Paraná River. These genera are tolerant to wide variety of environmental conditions (Pinder, 1995). The massive presence and dominance (Kownacki index) of *Chironomus* may be an indicator of impacted environments (Kleine and Trivinho-Strixino, 2005). The lowest diversity values and the highest number of dominant groups in the Paraná subsystem are probably also related to the impacts caused by damming. According to Munn and Brusven (1991) and Takao et al. (2008) the macroinvertebrate assemblage downstream the dams often shows reduction of diversity with low taxon richness and the predominance of particular species.

On the other hand, the Ivinhema River subsystem showed great variety of taxonomic groups and exclusive composition: *Djalmabatista*, *Procladius*, *Harnischia*, *Nilothauma*, *Paralauterboniella*, *Saetheria*, *Stenochironomus*, *Aedokrytus*, *Cricotopus*, *Nanocladius*, and *Thienemanniella*. This fact is probably related to the environmental conditions, typical of more conserved areas. The strong presence of genera of Orthocladiinae in the Ivinhema River subsystem reinforces such evidence, because this subfamily is considered typical of areas that have undergone little impact (Roque et al., 2000).

![Figure 4](image1.png)  
**Figure 4.** Mean ± SE of the two-way ANOVA with axis 1a) and 2b) of PCA for the environments: IVR = Ivinhema River; IPC = Ipoitã channel; PAL = Patos Lake; VEL = Ventura Lake; PRR = Paraná River; PVB = Pau Véio Backwater; GAL = Garças Lake; OSL = Osmar Lake, in March and September 2003.

![Figure 5](image2.png)  
**Figure 5.** Mean density ± SE of Chironomidae larvae in the environments: IVR = Ivinhema River; IPC = Ipoitã channel; PAL = Patos Lake; VEL = Ventura Lake; PRR = Paraná River; PVB = Pau Véio Backwater; GAL = Garças Lake; OSL = Osmar Lake, in March and September 2003.
Rodrigues et al. (2009) mentioned that the potamoplankton in the Ivinhema River system is characterized by a community typical of non-dammed rivers, with low densities of each taxon and high proportions of rare species. Through the observed results, the Chironomidae community in the Ivinhema River subsystem follows the same pattern mentioned above.

The Ipoitã channel (Ivinhema subsystem) was the station with the highest diversity index, probably due to the fact that this channel has great physical heterogeneity, proportioned by the presence of macrophyte banks (Eichhornia spp.) and submerged branches, providing great quantity of microhabitats. In addition, areas with riparian vegetation, such as the Ipoitã Channel, maintain higher diversity of Chironomidae than deforested areas (Henriques-Oliveira et al., 1999).

Results showed differences in the Chironomidae community structure between the Paraná and Ivinhema subsystems. The irregularity of floods caused by dams in the Paraná River may make the aquatic communities more susceptible to changes, such as reduced diversity and density, when exposed to events like the flood pulses. Probably reflecting this situation, we observed low density and diversity

Figure 6. Ordination diagram of the first two axis of the DCA based on species composition and abundance of Chironomidae larvae in the stations. a) Ordination of the stations, b) ordination of the genera; IVRM = Ivinhema River (March); IVRS = Ivinhema River (September); IPCM = Ipoitã Channel (March); IPCS = Ipoitã Channel (September); PALM = Patos Lake (March); PALS = Patos Lake (September); VELM= Ventura Lake (March); VELS= Ventura Lake (September); PRRM = Paraná River (March); PRRS = Paraná River (September); PVBS = Pau Véio Backwater (September); GALS= Garças Lake (September); OSLM = Osmar Lake (March); OSLS = Osmar Lake (September).

Figure 7. Mean ± SE of the two-way ANOVA with axis 1a) and 2b) of DCA for the environments: IVR = Ivinhema River; IPC = Ipoitã channel; PAL = Patos Lake; VEL= Ventura Lake; PRR = Paraná River; PVB = Pau Véio Backwater; GAL= Garças Lake; OSL = Osmar Lake, in March and September 2003.
of Chironomidae in Paraná River subsystem. Moreover, the composition of Chironomidae in the Paraná subsystem was constituted mainly by generalist and tolerant genera. On the other hand, in the subsystem Ivinhema we observed more variety of taxa and, in general, spatial and temporal distribution of the Chironomidae more homogeneous compared with the distribution of the Chironomidae community in the Paraná subsystem. The Ivinhema River is a dam-free environment and presents more regular floods, with more periodicity; it must be a decisive factor for the maintenance of the community structure, because during the historical formation of their life cycles, aquatic species developed strategies that depend on the natural flood regime (Bunn and Arthington, 2002). Finally, the greatest diversity, lowest values of dominance and exclusive taxonomic composition in the Ivinhema River subsystem could be reflecting a less degraded environment, demonstrating that the preservation of areas such as this system is fundamental for the maintenance of the diversity of Chironomidae.
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