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Abstract

Demodicosis is a common parasitary dermatopathy in dogs, resulting from excessive proliferation of Demodex canis mite, a natural inhabitant of dog’s skin. Definitive diagnosis is classically established through microscopic examination of deep skin scrapings obtained from affected areas, in which numerous adult mites and/or immature forms are observed. Although considered as the golden pattern for the disease diagnosis, this technique is difficult to be performed in some parts of the body, as face, eyelids and interdigital areas, besides causing pain in the dog and discomfort to the owner. Two less invasive diagnostic methods, the hair plucking and the adhesive tape test are described. Although these methods are well known, only few studies about their sensitivity were found in the literature. In the present study, tests were conducted on 40 dogs previously diagnosed with demodicosis through deep skin scrapings. At adhesive tape test, 30 of 40 samples were positive, evidencing 75% of sensivity, while at hair plucking, mites were observed in 29 samples, leading to a sensivity of 73%. These results do not differ statistically and suggest that adhesive tape test and hair plucking tests can be used on clinical routine as first choice primary tests for canine demodicosis as they offer more comfort to animal and its owner. Interestingly, the presence of pyoderma raised the sensibility of both tests to 100%. However, deep skin scrapings must be done for diagnosis confirmation whenever the results of these two methods are negative.
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Resumo

A demodicose é uma dermatopatia parasitária comum na espécie canina que ocorre devido à proliferação excessiva do ácaro Demodex canis, habitante natural da pele do cão. O diagnóstico definitivo da doença é classicamente estabelecido através de raspado de pele profundo, quando são observadas, ao microscópio, parasitas adultos e/ou formas imaturas do ácaro. Esta técnica tem algumas características indesejáveis como a dor provocada nos animais, o que incomoda seus proprietários. Além disso, é de difícil realização em alguns sítios, como face, palpebras, patas e interdigitos. Este estudo teve como objetivo avaliar a sensibilidade de dois métodos diagnósticos menos invasivos: a evidenciação dos ácaros em pelos avulsionados e o teste da fita adesiva. Apesar de serem testes bem consolidados, poucos estudos relativos à sua sensibilidade foram encontrados na literatura. Neste estudo, os testes foram conduzidos em 40 animais diagnosticados positivamente para demodicose através de raspado de pele profundo. Na fita adesiva, 30 de 40 amostras apresentaram resultado positivo, evidenciando 75% de sensibilidade, enquanto na avulsão de pelos 29 amostras foram positivas, mostrando sensibilidade de 73%. Tais resultados não diferiram estatisticamente e sugerem que o teste da fita adesiva, bem como a avulsão de pelos podem ser utilizados na rotina clínica de diagnóstico da doença. Na presença de piodermite a sensibilidade de ambos os testes foi de 100%. No entanto, o raspado de pele profundo deve ser feito para confirmação do diagnóstico sempre que estes dois testes apresentarem resultados negativos.
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Introduction

Demodicosis is a common parasite dermatopathy in dogs, resulting from excessive proliferation of Demodex canis mite, a natural inhabitant of hair follicles and rarely of the sebaceous glands of the animal’s skin (Scott et al., 2001). Dogs acquire the commensal mite during the first two or three days of life, through direct contact with the bitch during lactation (Mason et al., 1996; Scott et al., 2001). The disease development seems to be mainly related to specific cellular immunocompetence for this mite, which has a hereditary feature (Scott et al., 2001). Parasite specific immune deregulation, either inherited or acquired, is considered the underlying pathogenic mechanisms.
Canine demodicosis can be seen in two clinical presentations that largely differ in clinical course and prognosis. Generalized demodicosis involves up to five restricted areas of alopecia, erythema, hyperkeratosis, seborrhea, discreet or absent pruritus, and occurs more commonly on face, head and limbs. It has a benign course and most of the cases resolve spontaneously. On the other hand, generalized demodicosis usually affects more than five areas of the body, larger areas or the entire animal’s body (Scott et al., 2001, Hnilica, 2012). Demodicosis cases are also subdivided according to the animal’s age at the onset of the disease: juvenile demodicosis is presented in animals with less than two years of age, while adult demodicosis occurs after that age. The juvenile presentation is much more common and has a more favorable prognosis, while the adult demodicosis can really be life threatening, as they are associated to serious predisposing factors, such as immunosuppressive diseases or malignant neoplasias. Other less frequent disease presentations are pododemodicosis, restricted to distal extremities of limbs and can be the remainder form of an unhealed generalized demodicosis, and also extern ceruminous otitis, which can accompany other more generalized lesions (Scott et al., 2001). Generalized bacterial skin infection almost invariably accompanies the generalized form of the disease (Muller et al., 2012).

Definitive diagnosis is classically established through microscopic examination of deep skin scrapings obtained from affected areas, where numerous adult mites and/or immature forms are observed (Kwochka, 1993; Ginel, 1996; Curtis, 2001; Scott et al., 2001; Mueller, 2005; Mueller et al., 2012). This technique causes pain in the dog and, consequently, discomfort to the owner and may be difficult to perform in some areas, such as eyelids, paws and interdigital areas. In rare cases, skin biopsies can be necessary to determine the diagnosis, especially in Shar-Pei dogs, due to their innate mucinosis cutis or in fibrotic lesions from any dog. In both situations, parasite visualization is difficult (Kwochka, 1993; Ginel, 1996; Scott et al., 2001; Mueller et al., 2012).

The hair plucking test consists on microscopic observation of detached hair and was done as described by Curtis (2001) and Mueller (2005). A 16 cm Crile haemostatic forceps was used for samples acquisition. In order to improve contact between the forceps and hairs, the pressing extremities covered with latex tubes. The hairs were detached from perilesional or partial alopecic areas, put over a glass slide with mineral oil, and covered with a glass slip. The samples, one of each diseased area, were examined with an optical microscope, at 40X magnification, with special attention to hair bulbs, where mites are more easily found. Occasionally, mites are not seen on the first investigation but few minutes under microscope lamp light and heat, allow mites to be dispersed from the hair. Therefore, negative samples were re-examined some minutes later.

The hair plucking and the adhesive tape methods were described in other studies (Saridomichelakis et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2012). These methods have been proposed as additional diagnostic method for demodicosis, especially for generalized forms of disease, seborrheic forms or areas that are difficult to scrape. Both methods present 100% specificity and as the deep skin scrapings, they are based on direct microscopic parasite observation. (Mueller, 2005; Saridomichelakis et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2012; Pereira et al., 2012).

Material and methods

In the present study, tests were conducted on 40 dogs with generalized (23) and localized (17) demodicosis (Sott et al., 2001), from various breeds, with age ranging from 4 months to 10 years, from both sexes, previously diagnosed with demodicosis through deep skin scrapings. Superficial bacterial skin infection or pyoderma was diagnosed in 8 of the 23 dogs with generalized demodicosis and in 4 of the 17 animals with localized demodicosis. The presence of pyoderma was suspected by

Deep skin scrapings were performed as described by Kwochka (1993). Firstly, if needed, the suspected area was clipped with scissors and cleaned with NaCl 0.9% solution. Then, skin was gentle pressed between fingers, to expel mites from follicles and skin was repeatedly scrapped, in the hair growing direction, using 10 scalpel blade soaked in mineral oil, until capillary bleeding, to ensure that epidermis was removed. The material was suspended in some mineral oil drops at a glass slide. The sample was covered with a slip and examined with an optical microscope, at 40X magnification.

The results of the microscopic examination were recorded and analyzed by two independent individuals. The sensitivity of the deep skin scraping test was calculated as the number of cases with mites on the sample divided by the number of tested patients. The same formula was modified by Di Magno et al., (1977), in which the number of mites was divided by the number of tested patients. The test considered positive when at least one mite was observed. The sensitivity of the hair plucking test was calculated as the number of cases with mites on the sample divided by the number of tested patients. The same formula was modified by Di Magno et al., (1977), in which the number of mites was divided by the number of tested patients. The test considered positive when at least one mite was observed. The sensitivity of the adhesive tape test was calculated as the number of cases with mites on the sample divided by the number of tested patients. The same formula was modified by Di Magno et al., (1977), in which the number of mites was divided by the number of tested patients. The test considered positive when at least one mite was observed.

The sensitivity of both methods was calculated by the formula proposed by Scott et al. (2001), in which the number of positive patients in a particular test is multiplied by 100 and then divided by the number of tested patients. The same formula was applied when tests were confronted to localized and generalized forms of the disease The comparison of the adhesive tape and the hair plucking test was performed by Paired t-test, for which 0 was attributed to negative results and 1 to positive ones. The influence of pyoderma was tested by T-Student test.

Material and methods

In the present study, tests were conducted on 40 dogs with generalized (23) and localized (17) demodicosis (Sott et al., 2001), from various breeds, with age ranging from 4 months to 10 years, from both sexes, previously diagnosed with demodicosis through deep skin scrapings. Superficial bacterial skin infection or pyoderma was diagnosed in 8 of the 23 dogs with generalized demodicosis and in 4 of the 17 animals with localized demodicosis. The presence of pyoderma was suspected by

Results and discussion

At adhesive tape test, 30 of 40 samples were positive, evidencing 75% sensitivity. By the other hand, mites were observed in 29 of the 40 dogs when the hair plucking was performed, demonstrating 73% of sensitivity for this method. These results do not differ statistically, regardless of the form of the disease. Sensitivity of both methods was inferior to that of deep skin scrapings – by definition, 100% - as also evidenced by other
authors: Saridomichelakis et al., (2007) found 85.1% of hair pluckings for demodicosis diagnosis in a study with 67 positive dogs. By the other hand, Pereira et al., (2012) found no statically significant difference when comparing adhesive tape test and skin scrapings and Beco et al., (2007) also found no statistical significant difference when comparing hair plucking and skin scrapings. The variance between these studies and the present one can be attributed to the fact that the authors previously mentioned squeezed the diseased area before taking the samples by either hair plucking or adhesive tape test.

When the form of the disease was considered, sensitivity of both tests showed some change: sensitivity of the adhesive tape test was higher on generalized demodicosis (85%) than on the localized form (56%). By the other hand, the sensitivity of hair pluckings for localized demodicosis was 47% while for generalized was 80%. Saridomichelakis et al., (2007), found a sensitivity of 85.1% of hair pluckings for demodicosis diagnosis in a study with 67 positive dogs, 30 with localized and 37 with generalized demodicosis. They found 70% of positive hair pluckings when considering only localized demodicosis and 97.3% considering only the generalized form. Although the sensitivity found in the authors study was higher, the results presented here also showed better results for generalized demodicosis.

Although described in the consulted literature (Gubash, 2006; Mueller et al., 2012), secondary pyoderma was not frequently found in this study: 8 (34%) dogs presenting the generalized and 4 (23%) with the localized form of the disease also showed secondary pyoderma. Interestingly, in the presence of pyoderma the sensitivity of both tests rose to 100%, regardless of the form of the disease. This raise of sensitivity can be attributed to a release of yet unknown immunosuppressant factors further aggravates the immune deregulation, thus stimulating the proliferation of D. canis (Mueller et al., 2012).

Conclusion

The results found suggest that adhesive tape test and hair pluckings can be used on clinical routine as first choice primary tests for canine demodicosis, as they offer more comfort to animal and owner. However, deep skin scrapings must be done for diagnosis whenever the results of these two methods are negative and the results are better if used on animals with generalized demodicosis because of the higher sensitivity of the tests.
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